

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/025,205	12/19/2001	Robert L. Clarke	KCX-459 (16596) 4932		
22827	7590 10/15/2004		EXAMINER		
DORITY & MANNING, P.A. POST OFFICE BOX 1449			FORTUNA, JOSE A		
GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1731		
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Office Action Summary		10/025,205	CLARKE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
-		José A. Fortuna	1731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. & 133)	n.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Au</u>	ugust 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E			;			
Dianasiti		x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 40					
	ion of Claims	`					
	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-14 and 16-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) 1-9 and 15 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 December 2001</u> is/ar		ed to by the Examiner				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			l).			
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign _l ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	٠			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		— <u>— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — </u>				
	application from the International Bureau						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.				
	•		•				
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
. Patent and Tra	edemark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/025,205

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-9 and 15 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a). This rejection is set forth in the prior Office action mailed on April 26, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 1-3, 9 and 15 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b). This rejection is set forth in the prior Office action mailed on April 26, 2004.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on August 02, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the 103(b) rejection of claims 1-9 and 15, applicants argue that vacuum system of the secondary references cannot be incorporated into the structure of the primary reference for different reasons, see applicants' remarks, and therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would not find the combination obvious. This is unconvincing for the following reasons:

a) The secondary references were cited only to evidence the use of vacuum rolls as transferring mechanisms in the papermaking or web making operations. It is examiner contention that one of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonable

Application/Control Number: 10/025,205

Art Unit: 1731

expectation of success if the web of the primary reference, Linkletter, were transferred using a vacuum pick-up roll instead of the air transferring system. Note that it has been held that "[W]here two equivalents are interchangeable for their desired function, substitution would have been obvious and thus, express suggestion of desirability of the substitution of one for the other is unnecessary." In re Fout 675 F. 2d 297, 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982); In re Siebentritt, 372 F.2d 566, 152 USPQ 618 (CCPA 1967).

b) The question in a rejection for obviousness on a combination of reference is what the secondary reference would teach one of ordinary skill in the art and not whether its structure could be bodily substituted in the basic reference structure. In re Richman, 165 USPQ 509 (CCPA 1970); In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969); In re Van Beckum, 169 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1971); In re Henley, 112 USPQ 56 (CCPA 1956); In re Sneed, 710 F.2d 1544, 218 USPQ 385 (Fed. Cir 1983).

Regarding the 102(b) rejection of claims 1-3, 9 and 15, applicants argue that the system of the primary reference does not produce a suction to attract the web across the subsequently formed draw D. This is considered unconvincing, because the claims do not require that the web be supported by the vacuum device to a determined or predetermined distance, only that it attracts the web for transferring. Moreover, the figures, more specifically figures 1 and 2, of the present application show that the web is supported by the first fabric after the suction pick-up roll, which is the same system disclosed/shown by the reference. Note that these are apparatus/device claims and it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which

Application/Control Number: 10/025,205

Art Unit: 1731

a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José A. Fortuna whose telephone number is 571-272-1188. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1731

JAF